

Using the Situational Q-sort to construct the Crisis Message Preference Model

Karen Freberg, Ph.D., @kfreberg
Maj. Kristin Saling @kcsaling



PERCEPTION OF CRISES

Q-SORT METHOD

- A research method that allows the reliable quantification of subjective first impressions
 - Results using a standard set of attributes allows for comparison across targets
 - Like a Likert scale, but with forced priorities
- Two types we have used in our research
 - Personality q-sorts (e.g. California Q-sort)
 - Situation q-sorts (Riverside Situational Q-sort)

Procedure of the Situational Q-sort

- Scenario presented to participant to focus on for this situation
- Asked to sort 89 attributes into 9 categories
- Only certain number of categories allowed in sort

Extremely	Quite Uncharacteristic	Fairly	Somewhat	Relatively	Somewhat	Fairly Characteristic (11	Quite Characteristic (6	Extremely
Uncharacteristic (3	(6 items only)	Uncharacteristic (11	Uncharacteristic (15	Neutral (19 items	Characteristic (15	items only)	items only)	Characteristic (3
items only)			items only)		items only)			items only)
 74. Potential romanti 	 Situation includes 	77. Affords an oppo	Affords an opporture	85. People who a	Talking is expect	Rational thinking is	Situation is complex.	Things are happe
2 76. Situation is basic	88. P is being complin	80. Affords an oppo	5. Someone is trying to	7. Talking is pern	43. Situation conta	Minor details are im	Someone needs help	 Situational deman
3 49. Affords an oppor	72. P is being abused	89. Affords an oppo	19. Introspection is pos	9. P is being aske	67. Situation make	A job needs to be don	15. Another person (pre-	14. Situation is uncer
4	31. Physical attractive	17. Someone is atter	32. It is important for P	6. P is counted or	59. Situation inclu	24. A decision needs to	45. A quick decision or	quick action is called
5	70. Situation includes	23. P is being blame	28. Affords an opportui	41. Affords an op	48. Situation entai	83. Situation is potentia	42. Situation contains pl	hysical threats.
6	18. Situation is playfu	46. Situation allows	44. Situation raises mor	35. Situation mig	34. Situation inclu	64. Situation includes be	26. Situation calls for se	lf-restraint.
7		4. Someone is trying	57. Situation is humoro	82. Independence	75. Situation has p	33. Situation would mal	ce some people tense and	l upset.
8		16. P is being critici	53. Situation includes i	78. Situation invo	66. Situation is po	29. Others are present w	ho need or desire reassu	rance.
9		58. P is the focus of	38. Someone else in thi	1. Situation is pot	40. People are dis-	21. Someone (present or	discussed) is unhappy of	or suffering.
10		37. It is possible for	84. Affords an opportur	61. Success in thi	52. Someone othe	73. Members of the opp	osite sex are present.	
11		65. Situation include	86. P is being pressured	47. Others presen	79. Situation raise	63. Others present a wid	le range of interpersonal	cues. (e.g., body lang
12						87. Success requires coo		
13			68. Affords an opportur	51. Close persona	54. Assertiveness	is required to accomplish	a goal.	
14						urces needed by others.	· ·	
15			11. Minor details are in	69. Situation mig	81. Others may ne	ed or are requesting adv	ice from P.	
16				56. Social interac				
17				27. Situation invo	lves competition.			
18					potential to arouse	guilt in P.		
19						ealth of P. (e.g., possibilit	y of illness; a medical vi	sit)

Preliminary Results

- Seven crisis managers viewed one of two scenarios (natural disaster or workplace violence)
- The Riverside Situational Q-sort (RSQ) was used to evaluate perceptions of the crisis.
- The response of the experts to the two scenarios did not differ statistically.

Crisis Managers Agreed That the Crises Feature...

- Someone needing help
- Another person under threat
- A complex situation
- An uncertain situation
- Things happening quickly

- Physical threats
- Someone being unhappy or suffering
- The need for a quick decision or quick action
- A situation that makes people tense and upset

DIAMONDS = Best friend for Crisis Managers?

- Rauthmann et al. (2014) conducted an elaborate factor analysis of the RSQ and identified 8 key attributes of situations with the acronym DIAMONDS.
 - Duty, Intellect, Adversity, Mating, Positivity, Negativity, Deception, and Sociality
 - Four factors seem particularly relevant to the perceptions of a crisis by crisis managers: **Duty, Intellect, Adversity, and Negativity**
- Sample RSQ items
 - 3. A job needs to be done.
 - 6. P is counted on to do something.
 - 11. Minor details are important.
 - 25. Rational thinking is called for

Important to Note

- In the RSQ, 1 = least characteristic and 9 = most characteristic.
 - Expert responses to crisis scenarios were analyzed using DIAMOND factors.
 - Duty items had a mean ranking of 7.
 - Intellect items had a mean ranking of 4.25.
 - Adversity items had a mean ranking of 5.5.
 - Negativity items had a mean ranking of 7.

Experts' sense of <u>duty</u> and <u>negativity</u> were quite salient in responding to the crises.

Adding Hazard + Outrage to RSG for Crisis Preference Model

- Hazard and Outrage
 - Sandman (2003) argued that Risk = Hazard + Outrage.
 - Lachlan and Spence (2007) argued that hazard and outrage also characterize a crisis.
 - Lachlan and Spence constructed an instrument specific to Hurricane Katrina, and argued for "other instruments for measuring these responses to include items general enough to be consistent across events" (2007, p. 119).

The RSQ does exactly what Lachlan and Spence recommend.

Hazard in Our Crises

- Hazard: Technical seriousness of a crisis, Worry,
 Potential harm, Willingness to act
- Sample RSQ items: A job needs to be done.
- Research
 - 11 items out of the 89 on the RSQ were judged relevant to definition of hazard
 - Mean rankings by crisis experts for these 11 items was 7.64

Outrage in Our Crises

- Outrage = Emotional responses
 - Sample RSQ item: Situation would make some people tense and upset.

Research

- 10 items out of 89 on the RSQ were judged to be relevant to the definition of outrage.
- Mean rankings by crisis experts for these 10 items of 6.7.
- This is consistent with previous data, in which crisis experts were less emotional than laypersons in responding to a crisis in previous research.

Future applications and research

DIAMOND Factors

- The DIAMOND factors are closely related to personality (duty = Big 5 trait of conscientiousness).
- How does personality predict an expert's response to a crisis?
- What personalities are attracted to crisis management?

Hazard Outrage

- Experts and laypersons do not see hazard and outrage in similar ways.
- The RSQ allows the expert to "see" the crisis through the eyes of the audience.
- Experts can proactively test-drive responses to crisis scenarios using the RSQ.



QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

karen.freberg@louisville.edu kristin.saling@gmail.com