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**NOTE TO FACULTY**

This document is designed to guide annual evaluations of faculty. This document is not intended to be promotion and tenure guidelines. However, in Section III: Scholarly Research and Creative Activity, an effort was made to develop a model with applicability to tenured and tenure-earning faculty across NSCM.

**SECTION I: INTRODUCTION**

Tenured and tenure-earning faculty members are responsible for reporting their annual  accomplishments based upon their Assignment of Duties for the review period. The Director will evaluate the reported work in each assigned category (teaching, scholarly research and/or creative activity, and service) and will provide an overall evaluation. Tenured and tenure-earning faculty must earn a minimum rating of ***Satisfactory***in each area of assignment of effort of five percent (5%) or more before being eligible for an overall rating of Satisfactory or above. If a faculty member is not Satisfactory in each area of assignment, the faculty member shall achieve an overall rating of ***Conditional***. If the faculty member is not ***Satisfactory***in each category for more than one year, the faculty member shall achieve an overall rating of ***Unsatisfactory***. Note: if the faculty member achieves a **Satisfactory or higher** ratings in the year following a Conditional, this cycle resets (i.e., a faculty member could be **Conditional** one year, **Satisfactory** the year after, then Conditional in the third year).

Each faculty member will earn an overall performance assessment based on the individual ratings earned in activities including teaching, scholarly research and/or creative activity, service, and other assigned duties. The overall rating will be determined mathematically using the portion of the FTE (Full Time Equivalent) assigned for each activity and listed on the In-Unit Faculty Assignment of Duties Form. If the faculty member teaches a summer course,  the summer FTE will be factored into the FTE used to calculate the overall score.  The total FTE for a full-time faculty member must add up to 1.00 (or 100%).

Generally, during the academic year, each three credit-hour course taught is assigned .11 FTE (11%). For example, the annual assignment for a faculty member on a “3/3” load (teaching three courses a semester) would typically have a Teaching assignment of .66 (66%), a Scholarly Research or Creative Activity .22 (22%), and a Service assignment of .12 (12%). [.66 + .22 + .12 = 1.0 FTE.]   If the same faculty member were to teach a summer class, for purposes of

the annual evaluation, each three-credit course taught is assigned .10 FTE (10%). Thus, the FTE for evaluation would have a Teaching Assignment of .70 (70%), a Scholarly Research or Creative Activity .20 (20%) and a Service assignment of .10 (10%). [.70 + .20 + .10 = 1.0 FTE.]

It is understood that changing circumstances, such as shifts in teaching assignment, increased service obligations, or new scholarly research or creative activity opportunities, may affect the relative productivity in teaching, scholarly research or creative activity, and service. In such cases, the faculty member and Director should meet as soon as possible before the end of the annual evaluation period to develop a new assignment that more accurately reflects the workload.

\* For purposes of calculating the overall evaluation in a manner that distinguishes among the overall ratings (e.g., **Satisfactory, Above Satisfactory, and Outstanding**), individual category evaluations of teaching, scholarly research and creative activity, and service are assigned the following values: **Outstanding** = 4, **Above Satisfactory** = 3, **Satisfactory**= 2, **Conditional**= 1, **Unsatisfactory** = 0. For example, based on the formula for evaluation, using the previous example, a faculty member on a “3/3 teaching load” who is **Outstanding**in Teaching (.66), **Above Satisfactory** in Scholarly Research and/or Creative Activity (.22), and **Above Satisfactory** in Service (.12) would receive an overall score of 3.66 [(4x.66=2.64) + (3x.22=.66) + (3x.12=.36) = 3.66]. The overall evaluation is assigned according to the table below. The categories of evaluation are weighted according to the Assignment of Duties (see above). The overall evaluation is assigned according to the table below. In the previous example, the faculty member will earn an overall evaluation of **Outstanding**.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Weighted Total | Overall Evaluation |
| 3.5 or above | Outstanding |
| 2.5-3.49 | Above Satisfactory |
| 1.5-2.49 | Satisfactory |
| 0.5-1.49 | Conditional |
| below 0.49 | Unsatisfactory |

 **Regarding Variations in Assignment**

It is recognized that some faculty members may have administrative or other duties that result in variations in their assigned teaching load. The Annual Standards described herein apply to the teaching of as many courses as the faculty member is assigned to teach. In the case of service, the standards for faculty service are the same regardless of their teaching assignment.

 **SECTION II: TEACHING**

***Teaching****includes all classes for which the faculty is Instructor of Record and related class activities and student interactions both inside and outside of the classroom. This category also includes items such as independent studies, directed research, directed readings, thesis and dissertation committees, guest lectures in other classes, team teaching and development and/or revision of course materials or curriculum for future classes.*

**Teaching Schedule  (Spring’25, Fall’24, Summer ’24)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Term | Course | Sec | Course Title |  |  | CH | Enroll | SCH | Campus | Eval | Grade | Dist | TR% |
| 2025/Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2025/Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2025/Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2025/Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2025/Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2024/Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| 2024/Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |
| 2024/Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2024/Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2024/Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| 2024/Summer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2024/Summer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |  |
| **Totals** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 **A. UNSATISFACTORY RATING**

If a faculty member receives a *Conditional*rating (does not meet the overall requirements for Satisfactory) for two consecutive years, an *Unsatisfactory*rating will be assigned. In instances where evidence of egregious deficiencies can be documented, an ***Unsatisfactory*** rating may be given when first identified.

**B. CONDITIONAL RATING**

A faculty member who does not meet the overall requirements for *Satisfactory* will be marked as ***Conditional***.

**C. SATISFACTORY RATING**

To achieve a rating of *Satisfactory*, the faculty member must be prepared to teach assigned courses, deliver course content in a manner that is consistent with program objectives and standards, receive course evaluations reflecting acceptable levels of student satisfaction, and follow UCF policies and practices (see notes below). The following 17 items must be met to receive a *Satisfactory*rating.

In order to achieve a rating of **Satisfactory**, the faculty member must satisfy the following criteria for all courses taught:

**1.  Preparation for Teaching**

 [ ] Submit book orders on time as required by state legislation.
 [ ] Prepare a course syllabus that follows the current university guidelines.
 [ ] Provide a copy of the syllabus for each class to the appropriate school administrator by the university deadline.
[ ] Possess comprehensive and original knowledge of the subject(s) through FQMS qualification and the proven

 ability to communicate that knowledge to students.
 [ ] Complete university training in a timely manner, as requested (e.g., FERPA, Safe Zone advocacy, diversity

 initiatives).

**2.  Course Delivery**

 [ ] Meet classes on a regular basis, as scheduled, and as appropriate to course modality.
 [ ] Be available at scheduled office hours.
 [ ] Follow syllabi that adhere to the current program guidelines.
 [ ] Provide content that aligns with learning objectives and outcomes.
 [ ] Reply in a timely fashion to student and supervisor inquiries.
 [ ] Provide timely evaluative feedback on student assignments/exams.
 [ ] Hold a final exam (or appropriate final project/exercise) during the scheduled final exam period.
 [ ] Submit final grades on time.
 [ ] Give timely notification to supervisor and/or students of anticipated absences and accurately complete the

 University Leave and Personnel Form (LAPER).

**3.  Course Evaluation**

 [ ] Demonstrate overall satisfactory performance in the classroom as evidenced by Student Perception of Instruction

 (SPI). \* See NOTES below.
 [ ] Report SPoI ratings of at least 50% in the “Good,” “Very Good,” and “Excellent” categories collectively

 (accumulated across all courses taught) in the category “Overall Assessment of Instruction.”
 [ ] Assess overall teaching effectiveness regarding student knowledge and/or skills gained.

NOTES:

1. If the faculty member does not receive a rating of 50% in the “Good,” “Very Good,” and “Excellent”

categories collectively; then, she/he/they should submit a summary that contextualizes SPoI scores and describe a strategy for improving future SPoI evaluations should be submitted to the Director.

1. The following is not an exhaustive list, but may be used to provide context and additional information demonstrating  satisfactory performance in the classroom:

      a. Submit a pre-test/post-test assessment of course objectives.

        b. Submit a grade distribution for each course.

        c. Submit a peer evaluation of a course.

3. *\*It is important to recognize the aggregate nature of numerical ratings versus the individual nature of written comments. If referring to written comments, it is critical for faculty and the evaluator to use them judiciously and comprehensively (not selectively to reflect a bias one way or the other)*

NARRATIVE TEXT BOX (NTB): For evidence of teaching performance that is not captured through the program used to collect data for annual reports (e.g., Sedona), faculty may provide additional evidence of meeting the above criteria in this space. Faculty members who do not fulfill one or more of the above criteria should provide an explanation of the circumstances regarding any deficiencies.

**Provide additional information here:**

**D. ABOVE SATISFACTORY RATING**

To achieve *Above Satisfactory* rating, the faculty member must achieve the criteria required to earn a *Satisfactory* rating and accomplish three or more of the activities outlined below, spanning at least two categories (Preparation for Teaching, Course Delivery, and Course Evaluation). The following list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive:

**5.  Preparation for Teaching**

[ ] Participate in two short university sponsored teaching-related workshops (e.g., Faculty Center for Teaching and

 Learning (FCTL) one-hour workshops).
[ ] Engage FCTL/Center for Distributed Learning (CDL) staff in a one-on-one consultation and provide a summary of

 consultation outcome and goals for course development changes.

[ ] Attend a campus-based multi-day teaching workshop (e.g., FCTL summer conference).
[ ] Attend a professional conference or workshop or continuing education opportunity (fewer than two days) related to

 the faculty member’s area of expertise or teaching assignment.
[ ] Successfully develop and gain approval for a new course.
[ ] Demonstrate how you have met your personal teaching goals.
[ ] Other preparation not included here. Please specify.

**6.  Provide context, as needed.**

**7.  Course Delivery**

[ ] Revise/modify one aspect of a course with documentation noting purpose and scope of changes.

[ ] Mentor a student through any UCF recognized undergraduate research initiative (e.g., RAMP, SMART, or McNair)

 with an identified deliverable.
[ ] Supervise directed undergraduate or graduate research, creative or professional project that results in an

 identifiable deliverable. (May be counted more than once.)

[ ] Serve as a committee member for an Honors Undergraduate Thesis Honors in the Major student who has

 successfully completed an undergraduate thesis. (May be counted more than once.)
[ ] Serve as a committee member for one M.A./M.F.A./Ph.D. theses/ dissertations/projects during current evaluation

 period. (May be counted more than once.)

[ ] Evaluate student performance with an advanced level of rigor (for example, providing oral and/or written critique)

 that is appropriate to the curriculum.
[ ] Serve on graduate review board or program exam committee for graduate student.
[ ] Develop and guide/coach/direct students in a class that presents its work in a campus or community setting or who

 present their work through off-campus media such as [see attached for examples]
[ ] Engage students in one or more university approved service-learning activities.
[ ] Present course-related work to representatives of a campus, community, or non-profit organization.
[ ] Guide students on a school sponsored fieldtrip to an off-campus discipline-related setting.
[ ] Assist students outside the classroom through game and web development or other performances or

 scholarly/creative presentations; developing and operating a student organization; developing client-driven

 projects; or other related activities.
[ ] Teach an established course for the first time as a new preparation.
[ ] Substantially revise an existing course (e.g., Transitioning face-to-face [F2F] to online).
[ ] Modify instructional design to accommodate adding students to accommodate adding students above course caps

 established by program area while maintaining quality of instructions [See attached for examples and stipulations]
[ ] Play a significant role in developing, maintaining, and/or upgrading a classroom, studio, laboratory, or academic

 display venue.
[ ] Write, or substantially contribute to, the writing of a successful application for the purchase of equipment needed

 for a UCF classroom or lab.
[ ] Mentor a student in a discipline-related activity outside of classroom instruction.
[ ] Deliver comprehensive guest lecture or learning session for a colleague’s class or other UCF unit, or other

 invited lecture to an external academic institution (with approval).
[ ] Teach (individually) a large course (as defined by the norms of the faculty member’s primary program area) as

 Instructor of Record.
[ ] Organize an instructional effort outside the classroom that serves the program area, School, or UCF [See attached

 for examples]
[ ] Perform other noteworthy teaching activity that is not listed. Please specify below.

**8.  Provide context, as needed.**

**9.  Course Evaluation**

[ ] Demonstrate satisfactory performance in the classroom as evidenced by Student Perception of Instruction (SPI). \*

 See NOTES below.
[ ] Receive (SPI) ratings of at least 50% in the “Very Good,” and “Excellent” categories for both semesters (i.e., not an

 average) in the category “Overall Assessment of Instruction” on the “Instructor Summary” page.
[ ] Receive a favorable peer review evaluation conducted by FCTL or NSCM faculty/ administration.
[ ] Assess teaching effectiveness regarding improvement in critical thinking in a course that may be used for program

 assessment.
[ ] Achieve the personal teaching goals identified for the academic year.
[ ] Provide compelling evidence (see below) of significant teaching effectiveness and rigor.
[ ] Other - Perform some other noteworthy teaching activity that is not listed. Please specify.

**10.  Provide context, as needed.**

NOTES:

*1.   \*It is important to recognize the aggregate nature of numerical ratings versus the individual nature of written comments. If referring to written comments, it is critical for faculty and the evaluator to use them judiciously and comprehensively (and not used selectively to reflect a bias one way or the other).*

NARRATIVE TEXT BOX (NTB): For evidence not provided through Sedona, faculty should provide evidence of meeting the above criteria in this space. Faculty members who do not fulfill one or more of the above criteria should provide an explanation of the circumstances regarding any deficiencies

**11.  Provide additional information here:**

**E. OUTSTANDING RATING**

It is presumed that to receive an *Outstanding*, the requirements for Satisfactory and Above Satisfactory will have been met. To achieve a rating of Outstanding, faculty must either complete TWO additional activities from Above Satisfactory; or ONE option from the activities listed in Outstanding.

**12.  Preparation for Teaching**

[ ] Participate in a campus-based multi-day teaching workshop (e.g., FCTL summer conference).
[ ] Complete the research to author a textbook, textbook chapter, or scholarly publication related to teaching.
[ ] Play a leadership role in a local, regional, national, or international teaching and learning conference and/or

 organization.
[ ] Secure external grant greater than $5,000 for the preparation of instructional materials (grants in the Scholarship of

 Teaching and Learning [SoTL] area count under research; technology fee proposals count under service).
[ ] Author an article focusing on teaching practices that is published in a university, local, regional, or national publication.
[ ] Develop course materials that ensure that sources include representation across cultural identities.
[ ] Perform other preparation activities not included here. Please specify.

**13.  Provide context, as needed.**

**14.  Course Delivery**

[ ] Supervise a graduate dissertation/thesis/project or Honors Undergraduate Thesis project to completion (may be

 used more than once). If work extends over multiple years, provide context (see below).
[ ] Demonstrate through instructional assignment the application of an innovative teaching technique.
[ ] Guide students who present their work in a regional or national setting or who present their work through regional

 or national media.
[ ] Engage students in a regional initiative related to the course objectives involving a significant community challenge

 (e.g., hunger, homelessness, quality of life, public health, diversity and inclusivity).

[ ] Organize a co-curricular or instructional effort outside the classroom that serves the school, college, or university.

 [See attached for examples]
[ ] Lead/organize a visiting speaker/artist series for the program area, school, or university.
[ ] Develop and deliver a UCF approved service-learning course.
[ ] Deliver an approved course that is new to the curriculum.
[ ] Incorporate culturally centered or inclusive teaching practices.
[ ] Perform other activities demonstrating outstanding course delivery not included here. Please specify.

**15.  Provide context, as needed.**

**16.  Course Evaluation**

[ ] Receive external recognition of outstanding student performance that resulted from work in the faculty member’s

 course.
[ ] Receive student evaluations (SPoIs) of overall teaching effectiveness that exceed the NSCM norms. \* See NOTES

 below.
[ ] Demonstrate satisfactory performance in the classroom as evidenced by SPoIs Reports ratings of at least 70% in

 the “Very Good,” and “Excellent” both semesters in the category “Overall Assessment of Instruction on the

 “Instructor Summary” page.
[ ] Provide compelling evidence of significant teaching effectiveness through ongoing, distinctive achievements of

 students in the discipline [See attached for examples]
[ ] Receive regional or national recognition for teaching excellence or curriculum development, receives a TIP award

 or other UCF recognition and/or other kinds of formal recognition for teaching. [See attached for examples]
[ ] Perform a leadership role in teaching and learning academic functions, events, and activities at the school, college,

 university, or professional level.
[ ] Demonstrate leadership in the field through authoring a textbook, with evidence of external adoption and use.
[ ] Receive university course recognition (e.g., high impact designation, research intensive designation, quality

 blended designation).
[ ] Other - Perform some other noteworthy teaching activity that is not listed. Please specify.

**17.  Provide context, as needed.**

NOTES:

1. It is important to recognize the aggregate nature of numerical ratings versus the individual nature of written comments. If referring to written comments, it is critical for faculty and the evaluator to use them judiciously and comprehensively  (and not used selectively to reflect a bias one way or the other.)

NARRATIVE TEXT BOX (NTB): For evidence not provided through Sedona, faculty should provide evidence of meeting the above criteria in this space. Faculty members who do not fulfill one or more of the above criteria should provide an explanation of the circumstances regarding any deficiencies.

**18.  Provide additional information here:**

**SECTION III. SCHOLARLY RESEARCH AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY**

NOTE: This section provides the basis for the annual evaluation of faculty activity and does not represent NSCM’s promotion and tenure guidelines.

**A. Overall Rating of Scholarly Research and Creative Activity**

A faculty member’s scholarly research and creative activity are evaluated using the  following categories:
Unsatisfactory, Conditional, Satisfactory, Above Satisfactory, or Outstanding. Ratings of Satisfactory, Above Satisfactory, and Outstanding are calculated using the table below.  The table factors a faculty member’s scholarly research FTE into their expected scholarly research outcomes. The table is not cumulative. A faculty member needs only meet all the requirements in the box matching their FTE to earn that ranking. While all steps of the creative and scholarly research processes are important, higher evaluations are awarded to results.

**1. UNSATISFACTORY RATING**

If a faculty member receives a *Conditional* rating for two consecutive years, an *Unsatisfactory* rating will be assigned. In instances where evidence of egregious deficiencies can be documented, an *Unsatisfactory* rating may be given when first identified. Examples of an egregious offense could include research misconduct, or the intentional and overt undermining of research activities or the ability of another faculty member to complete their scholarly research or creative activity.

**2. CONDITIONAL RATING**

A faculty member who does not meet the overall requirements for *Satisfactory* will be marked as ***Conditional***.

**3.  SATISFACTORY, ABOVE SATISFACTORY, OUTSTANDING RATING**

The table provides the requirements at each level of FTE assigned to scholarly research or creative activity. The elements identified as ideaphoria, production, and dissemination are defined and explained in the following sections.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RESEARCH ASSIGNMENT⇒ | 0.06 to 0.11 FTE | 0.22 FTE | 0.33+   FTE |
| RATING (Below) |   |   |   |
| SATISFACTORY | Complete at least one IDEAPHORIA item | Complete two or more IDEAPHORIA items | Complete at least one PRODUCTION item |
| ABOVE SATISFACTORY | Complete two or more IDEAPHORIA items | Complete one or more PRODUCTION items | Complete two or more PRODUCTION items or at least one DISSEMINATION item |
| OUTSTANDING | Complete one or more PRODUCTION items | Complete two or more PRODUCTION items or at least one DISSEMINATION item | Complete at least one PRODUCTION item and two or more DISSEMINATION items |

At all levels, faculty will also have the opportunity to provide context and supporting information if their work is not fully captured in this document. In all cases, faculty should expect to provide justification and context for the quality, significance, and impact of the work that is being assessed.

The following sections provide the overall criteria for categories of accomplishment pursued by faculty, the general characteristics of scholarly research and creative activity, and specific evaluation criteria for scholarly research and creative activity in the areas of ideaphoria, production, and dissemination for communication, film and mass media, and games and interactive media.

**B. Overall Criteria for Categories of Scholarly Research and Creative Activity**

In recognition of the various academic programs in NSCM, and the diversity of experimentation involved in pursuit of new knowledge; scholarly research, creative activity, and client-driven projects may be specialized and/or evolve into interdisciplinary or collaborative activities that bridge traditional disciplines. Therefore, if part of the assignment of duties on the Faculty Activity Report for a faculty member of any rank includes a scholarly research or creative activity assignment, the evaluator and the faculty member will refer to the appropriate criteria to determine the annual performance rating for this review cycle. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to be able to furnish evidence to verify all listed activities, upon request.

The expectation for scholarly research and creative activities is that such efforts should lead to formal public presentation related to one’s professional and/or academic activities. Publication and public exhibition may include any venue that is refereed, juried, mediated, by special invitation, or meets the accepted professional standard within the discipline, or industry. Scholarship and creative work must be disseminated publicly and evaluated by experts in the field.

The evaluation of scholarly research and creative activities will be judged on a basis commensurate with the quality of the work’s achievement as related to the candidate’s discipline. While there may be exceptions, generally, when evaluating the quality of scholarly research and creative work, and in consideration of the university’s goal of community engagement, NSCM ranks activities based on a venue’s audience, not its location, accordingly:

1. Generally, international would be regarded as more prestigious than national, national more than regional, regional more than local; and,
2. Scholarly research and creative activities in support of UCF’s educational goals (e.g., diversity and inclusion) may be ranked as equal to international, even if the audience is local or regional, such as projects driven by university-sponsored grants, initiatives, or community engagement activities.

NSCM also considers the level of competitiveness and other measures that are detailed later in the document to help determine the quality of scholarly research and creative work.

a. Publishing houses, journals, festivals, galleries, museums, and conferences with world-class reputations that have been in continuous operation for more than a decade which have exceedingly low, peer-reviewed acceptance rates (single digits); select from an international applicant pool; and attract international sponsorship, judges, speakers and audience rank more highly than the following entities.

b. Publishing houses, journals, festivals, galleries, museums, and conferences that have been in operation from three to ten or more years, which have low, peer-reviewed acceptance rates (35% or less); and draw from an international applicant pool and attract national sponsorship/ speakers rank more highly than the following entities.

c. New publishing houses, journals, festivals, galleries, museums, and conferences that have been in operation for fewer than three years. These venues may have moderate acceptance rates (greater than 35%), may only draw from a local applicant pool, and attract local sponsorship and/or audience.

Listed below are examples of the various types of scholarly research and creative activities that might designate distinction in NSCM. The following identifies kinds of scholarly research activities and creative activities that may be declared in the annual evaluation. Scholarly research or creative activities may involve forms of recognition that demonstrate the quality or importance of the work. The activities listed within each of the bulleted items, where a level of activity is delineated, are considered according to institutional standards of accepted levels of adjudication, audiences reached, and established venues. It is the responsibility of the candidate to substantiate all claims with clear and compelling evidence.

a. Contributes to the field through publications and conference presentations of scholarly research or

 creative work at the local, statewide, regional, national, and international level.

b. Disseminates work in local, regional, national, or international museum or gallery exhibitions of creative

 work at the solo, juried, or invited level.

c. Contributes to the field through presentations of scholarly research or creative work in film screenings at

 the local, statewide, regional, national, and international level.

d. Shows sustained evidence of significant completed scholarly research and creative works, authoring or

 editing a scholarly book, authoring or editing peer-reviewed articles where the publishers thereof are well-

 established and respected in the field, editing or publishing in catalogs, and/or museum entries for major

 institutions, authoring reviews of esteemed discipline relative publications.

e. Documents consistent evidence of notable progress toward scholarly research and creative work,

 publication of a book or journal article, grant applications, development of a chapter or essay within an

 edited text, or editing a text, publication of a catalog or museum entry, publishing reviews of discipline

 relative publications, or designing a new game, artwork, or application.

f.  Participates actively in the curation or creation of national or international exhibitions, and presentation of

 scholarly research on panels and as invited speaker or adjudicator at the national and international level,

 etc. at the local, statewide, regional, national, and/or international level.

g. Distributes or otherwise disseminates completed creative work (not self-published) through film and

 electronic media channels including but not limited to broadcast, cable, streaming, VOD, DVD, digital

 distribution services, etc.

h. Earns awards for scholarship or creative work at the local, statewide, regional, national and/or

 international level.

i.  Receives grants, fellowships, residencies, or published reviews at the local, statewide, regional, national

 and/or international level.

 j.  Submits proposals for extramural funded grants or investor funding at the local, statewide, regional,

 national and/or international level.

k. Learns and uses new or unique technology or software related to creative work or scholarly research

 outcomes.

l.  Receives a UCF scholarly Research Incentive Award (RIA), UCF Excellence in Research Award, or other

 recognition of research quality (e.g., Reach for the Stars Award, Pegasus Professor, Luminary Award,

 Scroll & Quill Society).

 **C. Statement of General Scholarly Research and Creative Activity**

NSCM is a large, collaborative collection of diverse faculty members within diverse disciplines. At the same time, NSCM encourages interdisciplinary scholarly work, both scholarly research-based and creative. In recognition of the various components of the NSCM, and the many areas of expertise, a candidate’s scholarly research and/or creative endeavors should relate to each individual’s specific focus. For example, this could be publishing case studies, designing new games, producing film or television programs, writing history or theory, studying effects and impacts of various media, designing new disciplinary instructional techniques, or examining any variety of communication and media tools and outlets. While an area of expertise is generally desired for each member of the School, faculty members are also encouraged to expand their skills and areas of concentration, often through collaborative work with other faculty.

Although all areas within the school adhere to the general standards set forth in this document (i.e., rigor, significance, impact), it is valuable and instructive to point out some areas where there are slight differences in how scholarly work is to be evaluated. For example, film and game work can sometimes take multiple years to complete, while some quantitative scholarly research projects might take a few months. In addition, the significance of collaborative work in some areas of mass media are perceived differently than in others; in communication social science scholarly research, first authorship is considered more prestigious than second or third, whereas in film and game work, collaboration is awarded equal credit. It should be noted that while these differences are defined here within specific programs, they are to be applied across the School’s faculty evaluations. Faculty should indicate how their individual records should be interpreted by those not familiar with their discipline. Faculty also should note that because some faculty engage in scholarly research activity and creative activity, their reports may include both. Scholarly research or creative activities may involve forms of recognition that demonstrate the quality or importance of the work. “Other” categories are included to allow faculty to make a case for the placement of their scholarly research and creative activity.

The proportional credit for dollar amounts among Principal Investigators (PI) and Co-PIs for grants funded is negotiated and specified on the Office of Research (ORC) cover sheet and must be provided in the faculty member's Annual Report.

 **D. General Disciplinary Approaches and Variations Guiding Evaluation**

In cases of interdisciplinary collaboration, researchers should mutually agree upon the division of credit for their work and refer to the SECTION below corresponding to the primary dissemination discipline. The dissemination outlet/venue should guide the evaluative approach. Because Nicholson School of Communication includes three primary program areas, the following SECTIONs delineate the general approach to be used by the evaluator when considering individual faculty efforts.

 **1. Communication Program Area**

Generally, solo authorship is highly regarded, as is joint authorship. Collaboration is common, particularly for those faculty who have established research teams or secured funding for their research. In cases of joint authorship, author order may denote levels of contribution that have been mutually agreed upon by the co-authors, with being first author viewed as the lead scholar on the study. However, this is not always the case, and faculty are responsible for providing context, when necessary.

Applied scholarly research (i.e., scholarly research that leads to change in practice) is regarded as equally important as academic scholarly research (i.e., scholarly research that helps shape theory).

ISI designation and impact factors (e.g., peer review, acceptance rate, visibility/ significance of outlet, audience, or venue, reach of presentation or publication, etc.) commonly are indicators of significance of scholarly research.

 **2. Film and Mass Media Program Area**

Generally, in creative activity, the faculty member will identify the primary role played in the creation of the project when reporting. Creative works in film area often take two or more years to complete and disseminate. Therefore, evaluators should not expect adjudicated work on an annual basis. For example, a film faculty member might have only one or two projects that have received impactful national recognition within a multi-year time frame. Accordingly, it is important for the faculty member to clarify what role they played on a particular project.

Unlike papers and books, creative works may be exhibited at multiple different locations over time. Typically, after 5 years works are no longer considered new, but revision of an existing work for further exhibition or distribution may increase its impact or significance. For example, a film that is exhibited for 1-2 years in film festivals may then be revised for national or international distribution on an electronic media channel such as broadcast or streaming.

 **3. Games and Interactive Media (GaIM) Program Area**

Joint authorship is the norm in the GaIM field, as most scholarly research projects and creative activities are collaborative. Each author of a GaIM paper, presentation, or creative work shares full credit for purposes of evaluation.

Creative works in GaIM often take two or more years to complete and disseminate. Therefore, evaluators should not expect adjudicated work on an annual basis. For example, a faculty member might have only one or two projects that have received impactful national recognition within a multi-year time frame. Accordingly, it is extremely important for the faculty member to clarify what role they played on a particular project.

Unlike papers and books, creative works in GaIM may be exhibited at multiple different locations over time, with the expectation that the venue increases in reputation or changes in audience with each successive exhibition. Typically, within the discipline, after five (5) years, works are no longer considered new and further exhibition only receives credit as part of a retrospective.

The annual evaluation process may necessarily have some variance due to NSCM’s academic diversity, as it is impossible to list every possible activity appropriate for its faculty members. As a result, faculty members may engage in activities not discussed herein, but demonstrate appropriateness to their scholarly research or creative agenda.

The quality of faculty work is indicated by peer/external review. The quantity of work should be commensurate with the total percentage assigned in the Faculty Assignment of Duties Document.

A faculty member’s scholarly research and creative activity are classified in the following three categories: **Ideaphoria, Production, and Dissemination**. These terms are to be conceptualized in the following ways: Ideaphoria is capacity for creative thought and imagination that scholars and artists use when preparing to create knowledge, projects, or art; production is the act or process of making available to the public the products of ideaphoria; dissemination is the act of spreading or presenting scholarly research or creative activity widely among universal and particular audiences.

 **E. Specific Criteria for Program Areas**

**Grid (counts of research in applicable categories):** Years: 2023

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Total** |
|  |  |
| Articles-in-Progress (All) |  |
| Paper Presentations (Refereed) |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

List items for each category listed above (ex. articles-in-progress citation)

(ex. conference presentation)

**(1) IDEAPHORIA**

[ ] **19.  The following list provides examples of the kinds of accomplishments expected at the beginning of a project. This list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive.**

 [ ] Demonstrate progress toward completion of scholarship or preproduction of a creative work (e.g., book, film,

 program, artwork, study, manuscript, whitepaper, article, etc.).
[ ] Contribute to the preparation and submission of a grant proposal, professional proposal for scholarly research or

 creative work, or preparation of a book proposal.
[ ] Participate in a course, professional meeting, or workshop that furthers the improvement of creative work or

 scholarly research.
[ ] Participate in and attend meetings of a discipline-related professional or academic association.
[ ] Participate on a scholarly panel to discuss issues/topics pertinent to faculty area of expertise.
[ ] Demonstrate networking efforts toward interdisciplinary scholarship, creative work, or community engagement.

 [See attached for examples]

**20.  Provide additional information or context as needed.**

**(2) PRODUCTION**

The following list provides examples of the kinds of accomplishments expected during production of a project. This list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive.

**21.  Demonstrate substantial progress toward completion of scholarship or creative work that has not yet received recognition. Criteria include, but are not limited to:**

[ ] Reaching accepted milestones beyond that achieved in the previous evaluation period [See attached for examples]
[ ] Experiencing unusual duration and/or complexity of project (COM: longitudinal study,
[ ] Reaching benchmarks (e.g., in grant-funded research; production stages in short or feature, half hour vs. feature

 films, etc.; or nature of game, scale of installation, etc.).
[ ] Demonstrating or screening a work-in-progress at venues appropriate to the discipline
[ ] Completing a scholarly or creative project that has previously been listed as a work-in-progress.

**22.  Serve as a scholarly research consultant or board member in a government, industry, community, non-governmental organizations, arts organization, professional, or educational agency or organization in an area related to one’s area of expertise.**

[ ] Yes

[ ] No

**23.  Serve as principal investigator, co-investigator, or project director in the preparation and submission of a grant proposal.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**24.  Serve as a scholarly research advisor, Co-PI, or collaborator on a grant whose principal investigator (PI) is outside NSCM.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**25.  Provide additional information or context as needed.**

 **(3) DISSEMINATION - COMMUNICATION PROGRAM AREA**

The following list provides examples of the kinds of accomplishments expected at completion of a project. This list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive. Items may be counted more than once (e.g., publishing multiple peer-reviewed journal articles).

**26.  Dissemination as Presentation**

[ ] Present scholarly or academic papers or manuscripts at a regional, national, or international professional or

 academic meeting.

[ ] Make a keynote or featured presentation at an esteemed national, or international conference in the faculty

 member’s discipline.

**27.  Dissemination as Publication**

[ ] Author peer-reviewed journal article.
[ ] Publish an edited book.
[ ] Complete/publish a scholarly book.
[ ] Publish a peer-reviewed article in a Selected Papers series or Proceedings.
[ ] Publish a bibliographic or review essay in a regional, national, or international journal.
[ ] Publish an editorially reviewed book chapter.
[ ] Publish an invited or editor reviewed article.
[ ] Produce/Direct a locally or regionally juried short-form creative work.
[ ] Produce/Direct a long form juried creative work that is exhibited at a conference or festival.

**28.  Dissemination as Recognition**

[ ] Be awarded an external grant or contract processed through OR
[ ] Disseminate scholarly research findings or a technical report in an area related to one’s area of expertise resulting

 from a consultation to industry, community, non-governmental organization, arts organization, professional, or

 educational agency.
[ ] Receive a scholarly Research Incentive Award (RIA) Program award from UCF.
[ ] Receive a Scholarship of Teaching and Learning Award from UCF (see NOTES below).
[ ] Receive external recognition by disciplinary or industry organizations.
[ ] Receive public recognition of scholarly research by mainstream media or professional disciplinary, industry media.

**29.  Other:**

[ ] Perform some other noteworthy scholarly research/creative accomplishment that is not adequately represented in

 this evaluation.
[ ] Activity must be specified, and faculty must provide satisfactory documentation.

**30.  Provide additional information or context as needed.**

NOTES:

• As applicable, scholarly research and creative activity will be counted when accepted or published (but not both).

• If the scholarly research article is a SoTL article, the faculty member needs to document that the article utilized a

 research methodology found within the discipline (for example, qualitative, quantitative, rhetorical, applied, action-

 oriented, mixed method). Only scholarly research based SoTL articles count in the scholarly research category; see

 NOTE in the Teaching SECTION above.

 **(3) DISSEMINATION - FILM AND MASS MEDIA PROGRAM AREA**

The following list provides examples of the kinds of accomplishments expected at completion of a project. This list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive.

**31.  Achieve recognition for scholarship or creative work at the international or national level. Criteria include, but are not limited to:**

[ ] Funding for project (e.g., grant, investor commitment, donation, talent/crew work on a donated or deferred basis, in-

 kind donations).
[ ] Commission for creative work completed through the use of UCF resources in a key role (e.g., writer, director,

 producer, director of photography, editor).
[ ] Award, Fellowship, or Residency.

**32.  Disseminates work in a peer-approved venue that results from special/juried invitation or peer review sponsored by:**

[ ] Academic, commercial, or professional presses, publishers, etc.
[ ] University or commercial galleries, museums, and media art centers.
[ ] Professional meetings, conferences, competitions, etc.
[ ] Media companies, electronic media including commercial and/or public television, cable, satellite systems and

 internet, streaming services, VOD, DVD, etc.
[ ] Recognized arts, design, and media organizations, festivals, competitions, retrospectives, etc.
[ ] Paper or poster at scholarly or professional conference, symposia, etc.

**33.  Disseminate scholarly research findings or a technical report in an area related to one’s area of expertise resulting from a consultation to industry, community, non-governmental organization, arts organization, professional, or educational agency.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**34.  Make a keynote or featured presentation at an esteemed national, or international conference in the faculty member’s discipline.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**35.  Be awarded ONE external grant or contract processed through OR that provides indirect funds to the NSCM.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**36.  Author ONE scholarly book, first edition.**

[ ] No
[ ] Yes

**37.  Other:**

[ ] Perform some other noteworthy scholarly research/creative accomplishment that is not adequately represented in

 this evaluation.

**38.  Note: Activity must be specified, and faculty must provide satisfactory documentation.**

**(3) DISSEMINATION - GAMES AND INTERACTIVE MEDIA & FIEA PROGRAM AREAS**

The following list provides examples of the kinds of accomplishments expected at completion of a project. This list is not rank ordered, equally weighted, or exhaustive.

**39.  Achieve recognition for scholarship or creative work at the international or national level. Criteria include, but are not limited to:**

[ ] Funding for project (e.g., grant, investor commitment, donation, talent/crew work on a donated or deferred basis, in-

 kind donations).
[ ] Commission for creative work completed through the use of UCF resources in a key role (e.g., writer, project

 manager, designer, artist).
[ ] Award, Fellowship, or Residency.

**40.  Disseminates work in a peer-approved venue that results from special/juried invitation or peer review sponsored by:**

[ ] Academic, commercial, or professional presses, publishers, etc.
[ ] University or commercial galleries, museums, and media art centers.
[ ] Professional meetings, conferences, competitions, etc.
[ ] Media companies, electronic media including commercial and/or public television, cable, satellite systems, internet,

 digital distribution services, etc.
[ ] Recognized arts, design, and media organizations, festivals, competitions, retrospectives, etc.
[ ] Paper or poster at scholarly or professional conference, symposia, etc.

**41.  Disseminate scholarly research findings or a technical report in an area related to one’s area of expertise resulting from a consultation to industry, community, non-governmental organization, arts organization, professional, or educational agency.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**42.  Makes a keynote or featured presentation at an esteemed national, or international conference in the faculty member’s discipline.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**43.  Be awarded ONE external grant or contract processed through OR that provides indirect funds to the NSCM.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**44.  Author ONE scholarly book, first edition.**

[ ] Yes
[ ] No

**45.  Other:**

[ ] Perform some other noteworthy scholarly research/creative accomplishment that is not adequately represented in

 this evaluation. (Note: Activity must be specified, and faculty must provide satisfactory documentation.)

**46.  Note: Activity must be specified, and faculty must provide satisfactory documentation.**

NOTES:

1. Presentations and articles will be counted when accepted or delivered/published (but not both) and books and book chapters when published. Grants will be counted after receipt of notification of award, or when received (but not both).

 **SECTION IV: SERVICE**

**Service** includes all institutional, community, and professional activities that the faculty are engaged in outside of the classroom. Based upon the annual assignment of duties, all faculty are expected to engage in a level of public and professional service activities as defined by the most recent Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). In addition to the activities listed under each category, faculty have an opportunity to record “Other Service” that is not included among any of the categories below. In assessing the items reported as “Other Service,” the Director will consider variables such as “relevance to the faculty’s area of expertise” and “relevance to the school or university mission” in judging the merit of the activity. At all levels, faculty are expected to provide a brief description (no more than two sentences) of the highlighted activities in the space provided.

**Institutional Service**

**Professional Service**

**A. UNSATISFACTORY RATING**

If a faculty member receives a Conditional rating for two consecutive years, an *Unsatisfactory* rating will be assigned. In instances where evidence of egregious deficiencies can be documented (e.g., purposefully disrupting or preventing a colleague from completing a service obligation), an *Unsatisfactory*rating may be given when first identified.

 **B. CONDITIONAL RATING**

A faculty member who does not meet the overall requirements for *Satisfactory* will be marked as ***Conditional***.

 **C. SATISFACTORY RATING**

In order to achieve a rating of *Satisfactory*, the faculty member must participate in program area meetings (based on attendance at over one half of the scheduled meetings during the year) and engage in two additional activities from among the following:

**47.  Service to School/College/University**

[ ] Serve as an active member\* (see NOTES below) of an additional program or school committee, subcommittee,

 workgroup, or ad hoc committee.
[ ] Serve as an elected or appointed school/college/university representative/liaison
[ ] Lead a program area orientation session for Nicholson Academic Student Services Center (NASSC) with

 verification from NASSC staff.
[ ] Attend a scheduled student, colleague, or visiting artist screenings within faculty’s discipline.
[ ] Serve as a judge or administrative staff for a co-curricular activity (e.g., Film screening).
[ ] Conduct a teaching peer-review and write feedback statement for a colleague.
[ ] Perform other service not listed here. Please specify.

**48.  Provide context, as needed:**

**49.  Public Service in the Community**

[ ] Serve as a member of community organization related to the faculty member’s area of academic expertise.
[ ] Engage with a public or private school group in an area of the faculty member’s area of professional expertise.
[ ] Engage with a local or civic organization in the faculty member’s area of professional expertise.
[ ] Involve students in community projects related to area of expertise or professional interest.
[ ] Perform other service not listed here. Please specify.

**50.  Provide context, as needed:**

**51.  Professional Service**

[ ] Serve as an active member\* (see NOTES below) in one or more professional organizations: national, regional, or

 state. Active membership is more than paying a membership fee. Examples of specific activity required.
[ ] Serve as a moderator or respondent for a program or session for a division/unit at a local, state, regional, national

 or international convention.
[ ] Serve as an ad hoc reviewer for an academic journal.
[ ] Review manuscript, abstract, or proposal for an academic conference (may be counted more than once for

 different conferences).
[ ] Serve as a juror for a local competition related to area of expertise or professional interest (e.g., film festival, art

 exhibitions, journalism awards, etc.).
[ ] Other: Perform some other noteworthy service accomplishment or receives public recognition for service that is not adequately represented in this evaluation. Please specify.

**52.  Provide context, as needed:**

NOTES:

\*Attendance is expected for all Program Area meetings and committee meetings unless the faculty member has been otherwise excused (e.g., due to conference travel, medical reasons, or work responsibility conflicts). The Director will receive meeting minutes of attendance or a report from the committee chair and determine whether attendance obligations have been fulfilled.

 **D. ABOVE SATISFACTORY RATING**

In order to achieve a rating of ABOVE SATISFACTORY, requirements for SATISFACTORY RATING must be met PLUS either of the following options:

• Two or more additional activities from Satisfactory AND one activity from the ABOVE SATISFACTORY list

 below; or,

• Two of the ABOVE SATISFACTORY activities from the list below.

**53.  Service to School/College/University Service**

[ ] Serve as an active member\* (see NOTES above) of an additional program or school committee, subcommittee,

 workgroup, or ad hoc committee.
[ ] Serve on a College or University committee.
[ ] Chair a Program, School, College, or University committee or subcommittee. (Committee chairs of School

 committees will present a brief committee report to the appropriate unit head.)
[ ] Supervise a Program, or School activity (e.g., student club, film showcase).
[ ] Supervise a Program, or School function (e.g., responsible for annual assessment of Undergraduate Program).
[ ] Advise or sponsor a student organization whose mission is part of the academic program of the School, College or

 University.
[ ] Attend scheduled student, colleague, or visiting artist screenings or exhibitions.
[ ] Perform other service not listed here. Please specify.

**54.  Provide context, as needed:**

**55.  Public Service to Community**

[ ] Chair or serve as an officer for a local professional group.
[ ] Deliver speech or presentation in the faculty member’s area of expertise to a local, state, regional, or national

 group.
[ ] Provide professional work or unpaid consulting for local group or schools in the faculty member’s area of

 professional expertise.
[ ] Provide service to a charitable organization utilizing the faculty member’s area of professional expertise.
[ ] Engage in recruitment activities for the program, department, or school.
[ ] Perform other public service in the community not listed here. Please specify.

**56.  Provide context, s needed:**

**57.  Professional Service**

[ ] Serve as a member of state, regional, or national association committee or division.
[ ] Write or edit a newsletter or website for a professional association or group related to area of expertise (e.g., blog

 about communication skills, website about video editing).
[ ] Conduct workshop or seminar for state, regional, national or international professional organization, schools, etc.
[ ] Serve as a scholarly critic/respondent/discussant at academic or professional program.
[ ] Serve on a published journal editorial review board.
[ ] Review manuscripts, abstracts, or proposals for an academic conference (local, regional, national, international;

 member’s area of professional expertise).
[ ] Review book proposals for publishers in the discipline.
[ ] Serve as a juror for a state or regional professional competition related to area of expertise or professional interest

 (e.g.: film festival, art exhibitions, journalism awards, etc.).
[ ] Perform other professional service not listed here. Please specify.

**58.  Provide context, as needed:**

**59.  Other Service**

[ ] Perform some other noteworthy service accomplishment or receives public recognition for service that is not

 adequately represented in this evaluation.
[ ] Activity must be specified, and faculty must provide satisfactory documentation.

 **E. OUTSTANDING RATING**

Faculty members seeking an Outstanding rating in service must complete the requirements for a Satisfactory and Above Satisfactory rating plus one of the following options:

[ ] **60.  Complete two more activities from the Above Satisfactory level; or, one of the following:**

[ ] Chair a state, regional, or national professional group or entity.
[ ] Serve as an executive officer for national or international organization in faculty member’s area of expertise.
[ ] Chair a national or international committee in faculty member’s area of expertise.
[ ] Serve as an editor, associate editor, or assistant editor of professional journal or magazine in faculty member's

 professional field.
[ ] Receive a service award (University, regional, national or international) related to the faculty member’s area of

 professional expertise.
[ ] Chair or direct state, regional, national or international non-profit organization related to the faculty member’s

 area of expertise.
[ ] Chair a major college, university or SUS committee (e.g., college tenure and promotion committee, faculty senate).
[ ] Serve as a juror for national and international professional competition (e.g.: film festival, art exhibitions, journalism

 awards, etc.).
[ ] Review book manuscripts for publishers in the discipline.
[ ] Other: Perform some other noteworthy service accomplishment or receives public recognition for service that is not

 adequately represented in this evaluation. Please specify.

**61.  Provide context, as needed:**

 **SECTION VI: OTHER ASSIGNED DUTIES**

**62.**

**Consistent with the CBA, faculty may assume (and be assigned) other duties, such as those consistent with program coordinator, assistant director, etc. While these may be internal titles, the assignment is reflected on the Assignment of Duties form and would be evaluated separately from Service or other categories.**

**Because different assignments have different criteria (i.e., program coordinator would be different from assistant director), it is difficult to enumerate standard criteria in this document. In this case, it is recommended that the faculty member and the evaluator determine the evaluation criteria for these duties before or at the start of the academic semester/year in which the duties will be evaluated. The faculty member can use the space provided to outline their accomplishments in relationship to the duties assigned to them; the faculty member and the evaluator can then meet to discuss the final annual evaluation of those duties.**

**63.  The below area is provided should you choose to include a narrative or summary statement regarding your**

**AESP submission.**

Bottom of Form